WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNIT	ED ST	ATES OF AMERICA				
		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
Samuel Dobos			Case Number: 08-7252m			
ordance tablishe	with the	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	2(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts			
by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.						
			ant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending			
		PART	I FINDINGS OF FACT			
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed					
	×	an offense for which a maximu 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46 l	m term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ J.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.			
		an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§	924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).			
		an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § imprisonment of ten years or m	2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of nore is prescribed.			
		an offense involving a minor vio	etim prescribed in1			
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of						
		A	Iternative Findings			
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably as the appearance of the defendant as required.					
(2)	No co	ndition or combination of conditio	ns will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.			
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intim a prospective witness or juror).					
(4)						
			TEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION k one or both, as applicable.)			
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:					
	ordance stablished by cle pendi by a ptrial in (1)  (2)  (1)  (2)  (3)  (4)	ordance with the stablished: by clear and copending trial in by a preponde trial in this case  (1) Therefore  (2) The condition of the approximation of the	ordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 stablished: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant trial in this case.  PART  (1) There is probable cause to believe that  an offense for which a maximum 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U an offense under 18 U.S.C. § imprisonment of ten years or man offense involving a minor vice (2) The defendant has not rebutted the propositions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as received.  (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant the appearance of the defendant as received.  (2) No condition or combination of condition approaching a prospective witness or juror).  (4)  PART II WRITTEN STA (Check one or both, as applicable.)			

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

## Case 2:08-cr-00763-NVW Document 5 Filed 07/07/08 Page 2 of 2

$\boxtimes$	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flig	ght that:					
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District	of Arizona	ı <b>.</b>				
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from to assure his/her future appearance.	n which he	e/she might ma	ke a bond reasona	oly calculated		
	×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.						
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as	ordered.					
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.								
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		_incarceration	and a maximum of			
×	The de	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the	Pretrial Se	ervices Report.				
	defend the princes conces paraph launde	ition: dant is insolvent; he has in excess of \$6,000.00 per month lant is being supported financially by family members; defended incipal defendants appear to be avoiding arrest and pro- aled weapon, misconduct with a weapon, counterfeiting, faternalia; defendant faces current charges for wire fraud, co- ring and felon in possession of a firearm; defendant has traited he has "lost" his passport.	ndant's wi secution;	fe is a target of defendant has ing to law enfo	the ongoing investi prior convictions rement and posse	gation; two of of carrying a ession of drug		
time of		ourt incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial S aring in this matter.	Services A	gency which w	ere reviewed by the	e Court at the		
		PART III DIRECTIONS REGARD	DING DET	ENTION				
appeal of the U	ctions fa .  The de Jnited St	efendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Generacility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaitefendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for privatates or on request of an attorney for the Government, the particle of States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance	ting or ser ate consult person in c	ving sentences tation with defe charge of the co	or being held in cus nse counsel. On or prrections facility sh	stody pending der of a court		
		PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD	PARTY R	RELEASE				
Court. service	a copy of Pursuar of a cop	ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services nt to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2 py of this order or after the oral order is stated on the reconsideration of the stated on the reconsideration of the stated on the reconsideration.	at least or 005, Defe ord within	ne day prior to t ndant shall hav which to file sp	he hearing set befo ve ten (10) days fro ecific written objec	re the District m the date of tions with the		
	es suffici	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be iently in advance of the hearing before the District Court is potential third party custodian.	e consider to allow P	red, it is counse retrial Services	el's responsibility to an opportunity to	notify Pretrial interview and		
Date:		7-3-08		Lul	mll/a			
		11	nited	EDWARD C States	:.VOSŠ Magistrate	Judge		
		U	misu	Otalos	Magistiate	Jaage		

Judge